

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 1071-02
Bill No.: HCS for HB 350
Subject: Firearms and Fireworks; Newspaper and Publications; Television;
Telecommunications
Type: Original
Date: April 23, 2013

Bill Summary: This proposal prohibits the publication of any identifying information of gun owners and prohibits health care professionals from being required to inquire, document, or disclose a patient's status as a gun owner.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.
This fiscal note contains 6 pages.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0

☐ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).

☐ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** state section 571.011 most likely removes the ability for law enforcement to use the Missouri Uniform Law Enforcement System to query the Department's driver license system when a CCW endorsement has been issued to verify its status.

This section may additionally require the Department of Revenue to create an in-house Department administered process for printing concealed carry weapon endorsements because the information could not be shared with its contract license offices and other contractors.

If DOR could not share information with its contractors, this section would require the Department to begin the RFP process for the printers and consumables that will be required to print the documents in-house. The RFP process alone will extend past the effective date of this proposal. It is strongly recommended this proposal have a delayed implementation date.

The Department has estimates on the amount it may cost for low volume central issuance printing in the state of Missouri. The process would require a complete build of new printing systems specially designed for in house production, production software, interface software, card design creation, and delivery/installation. The in-state system would produce a card similar but not exact in appearance. The system would use the similar preprint and security features as the regular driver license. Adult and Minor cards would be printed as separate batches so operator would be required to manually change card stocks between printing.

One-time NDI for Equipment Purchase: \$385,000 to unknown.

Additional Core NDI for annual costs: \$91,500 to unknown.

Consumables: Unknown.

OA-ITSD: Unknown, but may be significant.

This overall cost will vary based on the responses to the RFP process.

In order for the Department to handle all CCW endorsement applicants applying for their endorsement at the Central Office, the Department will require additional FTE.

Oversight assumes DOR has a confidentiality agreement with the vendor(s) that print the licenses and that the vendor(s) would be able to continue to do so with passage of this proposal. Oversight also assumes Section 571.011 would not impede the MULES system from querying DOR's database. Therefore, Oversight will assume Section 571.011 would not have a fiscal impact on the state.

RS:LR:OD

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol, Office of the State Courts Administrator** and the **Department of Health and Senior Services** each assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

In response to a previous version of the proposal, officials from the **Office of the State Public Defender, Department of Public Safety - Missouri Veterans Commission**, and the **Office of Prosecution Services** each assumed the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** state this bill, if passed into law, prohibits the publication of any identifying information of gun owners and prohibits health care professionals from being required to inquire, document or disclose a patient's status as a gun owner.

Penalty provisions for violations, the component of the bill to have potential fiscal impact for DOC, is for a class A misdemeanor. Currently, the DOC cannot predict the number of new commitments which may result from the creation of the offense(s) outlined in this proposal. An increase in commitments depends on the utilization by prosecutors and the actual sentences imposed by the court.

If additional persons are sentenced to the custody of the DOC due to the provisions of this legislation, the DOC will incur a corresponding increase in operational cost through supervision provided by the Board of Probation and Parole (FY12 average of \$4.960 per offender, per day, or an annual cost of \$1,810 per offender).

In summary, supervision by the DOC through probation would result in some additional costs, but it is assumed the impact would be \$0 or a minimal amount that could be absorbed within existing resources.

Officials from the Attorney General's Office did not respond to our request for fiscal impact.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2014 (10 Mo.)	FY 2015	FY 2016
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government

FY 2014
(10 Mo.)

FY 2015

FY 2016

\$0

\$0

\$0

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Revenue
Department of Public Safety
Office of Prosecution Services
Office of the State Public Defender
Department of Corrections
Office of the State Courts Administrator
Department of Health and Senior Services

Not Responding:

Attorney General's Office



Ross Strobe
Acting Director
April 23, 2013

RS:LR:OD

L.R. No. 1071-02
Bill No. HCS for HB 350
Page 6 of 6
April 23, 2013

RS:LR:OD